

## **Ion Coja It is high time for Jews and Hungarians to find out the truth.**

Who in fact sent 460,000 Jews from Hungary to Auschwitz?

For many years I was also led to believe, as everybody else, that Hungarians, unlike Romanians, sent their fellow Jewish citizens to Auschwitz. It is a well known and much publicized fact that, in 1944, several hundred thousand Jews were sent by train to death camps from where very few managed to find their way back. I myself have written several times about this, in the footsteps of the pre-1990 official historical and scholarly lines of thought, according to which Hungary's Jews were subjected to ruthless extermination while most of those from Romania escaped.

Winston Churchill himself spoke about this episode in Hungary's history, calling it humankind's most repugnant crime. This was also the title of one of the chapters in my 1991 book *Transilvania Invincibile Argumentum* (Irrefutable Arguments about Transylvania), written well before 1990.

I used the title of this chapter again in my 2004 book *Protocoalele Kogaionului* (Protocols of Kogaion,) but this time it was simply to follow my earlier assertions regarding this subject. Now, I will do that once more, but this time by declaring that neither Hungarians nor the Hungarian authorities were responsible for the 1944 Hungarian Jewish tragedy! I would venture to say, not even the Germans!... But the Jews themselves!

And yet, seven years after the publication of my *Protocols*, I find that my hypotheses have not reached one single Hungarian. This is of course my fault as I was never really able to market my writings. So, here I am again, one of the many reasons being to give the young Csikszereda [a city in Transylvania] hockey players another reason to be proud that they are Hungarians and not, God forbid, Romanians...

After 1990, as we all know, Romanians suddenly discovered that they were also being accused of the Holocaust. The accusers were Jewish authors of dubious background. Overnight they became Romanian Holocaust experts. Considering myself rather well-informed, I responded to the accusations. This is how I got involved, willingly or unwillingly, in this controversy which means that, once you are in it, you have to go to the bitter end or, in other words, I had to get further informed on this issue. This is how I came to understand how much the well-known Hungarian accusations are totally groundless. I repeat: groundless! They are made up or, in the best (worst) case scenario, exaggerated.

Recapitulating, let me emphasize that the decision regarding the fate of Hungary's Jews, about those sent to Auschwitz, was not made in a Cabinet meeting, or in the Budapest Parliament. Not even in a Nazi meeting. The decision was taken by a Jewish initiative at the highest level, i.e.: by the Jewish Agency's Salvation Committee!

My assertions are based on data contained in Roger Garaudy's work, *The Case of Israeli Zionism* (the Romanian language publication's title is *Procesul sionismului israelian*). No one has yet discredited these facts. Roger Garaudy is a well-known leftist figure with a democratic outlook, but without any party loyalty, who has received several criticisms from different quarters about his latest writings because of their so-called anti-Semitic nature. Yet, no one has been able to accuse him of disseminating false or distorted facts. All the facts I borrowed from Roger Garaudy regarding this issue come from Jewish writers or institutions. Let me quote further from *Protocoalele Kogaionului* ( p. 77-79). We learn from Roger Garaudy that in order to go to Palestine, it was not enough to be of Jewish descent. One had to be a "good" Jew, one with higher attributes. To be able to go to Palestine, Jews had to prove that they were made of such mettle as to not be a burden to the future state of Israel (p. 47).

Already in 1935, a prominent Zionist leader, Ytzhak Gruenbaum reminded us that there was a need to authorize the selection of immigrants and that they should not accept everybody (same page). It appears that the ratio of Jews living in Hitler-occupied territories in Europe and unworthy of entering the Holy Land reached 90%! Therefore, the problem faced by Jews expelled from Europe by the Nazis and whom Zionists were slow to accept in Israel finds its source primarily in the Zionists' doctrines. They looked at the problem not from the point of view of readiness to help but based it on the country's needs (same page). The wording found in other Zionist doctrines is far more explicit, steeped in pragmatism, cynicism and inhumanity.

For example, take a look at the 1943 Memorandum of the Jewish Agency's Salvation Committee: "Should we help everyone who needs help regardless of their personal attributes? Shouldn't we give the whole thing a national and Zionist label and try to save those who might be useful to the land of Israel and Judaism? I know that this line of thinking might seem inhuman but we must point out clearly that if out of 50,000 people we could save 10,000 who could contribute to the country's rebirth and consolidation instead of 1 million Jews who would be a burden on the country, then we must restrain ourselves and save those 10,000, notwithstanding the cries for help and recrimination of the 1 million left aside." (p. 47-48). So, when it came down to the choice between the 10,000 useful Jews and the 1 million unfit, the Zionists were only interested in the 10,000. The other 1 million (or six) were completely expendable --they could even die—as far as the Zionists were concerned, and their death would not be considered a loss. This possibility didn't seem to bother any Zionist leader. Quite on the contrary: it seemed to suit their interests.

At the same time, the above passage reminds us of what exactly took place when Jews arrived at Auschwitz: they were divided into two groups according to their characteristics, precisely based on their usefulness. Those who could contribute to the German war effort, --i.e. strengthening the country-- were kept alive. The rest, who were only a burden on the Nazis, became human garbage and quickly ended their earthly lives.

It may also be added that the above statements come not from a heartless and confused individual but from an institution. And yet, they are full of endless contempt for humanity: they were not just simple words but they had very real and concrete consequences, and in a most marked way, for the lives of several hundred thousand, or perhaps several million people, if we take into account the Holocaust which has been receiving so much media attention, not to mention all the details about Jewish suffering presented by lamenting public relations gurus. It is only in literature that we are accustomed to such strong cynicism and contempt where such exaggerated behaviour and situations serve an artistic purpose and where consequences remain strictly at that level. In this case, however, such statements have an unmistakable deadly and homicidal purpose. So what happened to the famous Jewish solidarity? Why did it fail here? Or, dare I say, perhaps even turn into a lack of simple human solidarity?

At the same time, these Zionist statements testify to the existence of two categories of Jews. They bring to light an outlook on life and a pragmatism hitherto unknown in history which leave everybody breathless, whether Christians or Jews. In other words, a simple philanthropic gesture to save the German Jews would only have been inconvenient and caused problems to the Zionists, especially since the greater the catastrophe, the smaller the chances of saving them. "We can intervene on behalf of Jews in Germany if they bring their wealth to Palestine. The current immigrants don't get that chance because they want to come empty-handed."

"Therefore, they can't offer anything to Yishuv (Israel's name before it was recognized as an independent state in 1948) and we can't expect anything else than what we have determined so far about most of the Jews of Germany: total estrangement from, even antagonism towards the territory of Israel, the absence of respect towards anything that is Jewish; a multitude of people with no connection to Zionism and who lost all sense of nationality." (p. 48). Here are Roger Garaudy's comments: these quotes show clearly the difference between Judaism as a religion, which I respect, and the nationalistic and oppressive political Zionism, which I condemn. Moreover, these ideas and statements show clearly the deceit and duplicity of those who today weep over the very same victims they refused to save. (p. 49).

This is worth repeating: "the deceit and duplicity of those who today weep over the very same victims they refused to save!"

In other words: Jews who refused to save Jews! Why? Because they were poor Jews, Jews who came empty-handed ...

It is becoming ever so clear that during World War II the Jewish elite perpetrated an artificial selection among those Jews who were charged with populating the future Israel, those who had to survive the war. On one side stood those Jews who were programmed to be Israelites, who had to be quality Jews, infused with Zionist ideas, rejecting the melting pot notion that more and more Jews were silently accepting. On the other side stood the poor Jews who, in the Zionists' eyes, were simply a human multitude without any connection to Zionism, lacking any national

feelings and not showing any respect towards anything Jewish or Hebrew. That's why they had to be excluded from any rescue! Perhaps this is the multitude that made up the six million?... The famous six million?

Note: Perhaps one could deduce from the above an astounding but not at all absurd hypothesis that would follow from the writings of the Zionist doctrines, i.e. that the Holocaust represents a punishment for all those who didn't show respect towards everything that is Jewish or Hebrew!

For the time being I am simply noting this hypothesis so that I can verify it later through other research. Perhaps, others will do this checking. Those who are more knowledgeable and educated...

What was the situation of Jews in Hungary seen under this Zionist slant?

They were known as readily accepting assimilation or already assimilated. Under the Dual Monarchy, they traditionally declared themselves Hungarians, especially when it came to census or other tests of loyalty. I will even go out on a limb by asserting that there were few Jews who were willing to leave the comfort of their homes there in order to go to Palestine and start everything all over. After all, Jews are also human beings. They were never heroes and martyrs... This was only part of the Zionist doctrine: to choose those Jews who would shape a nation of heroes and martyrs, the future Israel...

We can point out that Zionist leaders did not look very kindly on Hungary's Jews. Were they considered to be in the group of those not worthy of setting foot in the Holy Land?

Let me quote further from the book entitled *Protocoalele Kogaionului*, p. 77-79.

“So very often Jews living in Germany and generally in countries occupied by the Nazis were nothing more than manpower from which the Zionists who created Israel selected (after all, is it not the chosen people?) those Jews who could emigrate and live in Palestine, while those of a weaker kind, useless and unwanted would remain at the disposal of the Nazis. The great Zionist and first head of state of the new Israel, Ben Gurion, used similar words: the minority that could be rescued had to be selected accordingly for the Zionist Palestinian project.” (p. 44).

Therefore, it was up to the Zionists to rescue the heroes and martyrs, leaving the six million majority to their fate in the extermination camps.

N.B.: That most of the Jews were left to the mercy of Nazi camps is a conclusion that Roger Garaudy fully supports (*expressis verbis*) in the comments he makes in connection with Rudolf Kastner who was condemned in the 1950s for collaborating with the Nazis. Kastner was accused of doing business with Eichmann, in connivance with the Zionist leaders (at the time of the trial most of them were ministers) to rescue 1684 ‘useful’ Jews (Roger Garaudy's quote) and let them emigrate to Palestine as people needed to build the future Israel. In return, he would

convince the 460,000 Jews in Hungary that they would simply be relocated and in no way transported to the Auschwitz death camps.

As pointed out by Judge Halevi, all of these crimes were committed with the approval of the Jewish Agency and the International Jewish Congress. (p. 44).

What a heartless statement: "these crimes were committed with the approval of the Jewish Agency and the International Jewish Congress." "These crimes" ... i.e. the almost total annihilation of Jews in Hungary was committed with the approval, or rather the collaboration of the Jewish Agency and the International Jewish Congress.

This raises a few questions.

One can presume that all of the 1684 "useful" Jews needed to establish Israel were all super-talented people, all potential Nobel laureates in waiting. Did they themselves ever find out under what conditions they were rescued from Germany and ended up in Palestine?

Did they ever publish the list of 1684 names?

If 460,000 Jews were indeed sent to the Auschwitz death camps with the full knowledge and approval of the Jewish Agency and the International Jewish Congress, why are Hungarians, the Hungarian state and Hungarian people in general still being accused of the deportation to Germany and murder of 460,000 Hungarian Jews during the spring of 1944?

Let's confirm once more the nature of this crime. According to Winston Churchill, during the Holocaust, the deportation of Hungary's Jews was humanity's most revolting crime. Over the years, this crime has been squarely laid at the feet of Hungarian authorities who proceeded with the deportations with such zeal that even the Germans themselves were amazed. Roger Garaudy adds a small detail that takes away from the Hungarian authorities all such "credit" by stating that the Jews themselves were eager to climb into the wagons since they had received confirmation from the Zionists that they were simply being resettled. Since the Jews so readily believed that they were simply being relocated, this gave the Zionists an added proof that all 460,000 of them were indeed useless and of "poor quality" who deserved their lot and be sent like animals to slaughter; in other words, unworthy to set foot in the Holy Land.

Many years ago, I used to make comments on this most revolting crime in the history of humanity, differentiating between the Hungarians' Asian, the Huns' animal-like behaviour and the humane behaviour and patience of Romanians. I think that I have to take back my former statements and opinions. Mea culpa! I am sorry that my mistake was incomplete! I would simply have liked to retract my accusations, and that's the end of that! Unfortunately, it's not because my accusations are not true and that they don't agree with the facts, that they don't have merit, but because I have to level them against other people. I maintain my accusations but I have to lodge them elsewhere, as I am compelled to by Roger Garaudy. And that elsewhere is Zionism!

Zionism is responsible for the fate of the 460,000 Hungarian Jews who were deported to the Auschwitz gas chambers and ovens by the Nazis with the consent of the Zionist leaders! Let me repeat: by Nazis, with the blessing of the Zionist leaders... Moreover, with the Zionists' complicity! These are the accusations levelled by Roger Garaudy: Zionist Jews are responsible for the most revolting crimes against humanity! Let me be clear again: the words are those of Winston Churchill. A crime committed against 460,000 completely innocent Jews. In other words: the most revolting crimes against humanity were in essence a Jewish business deal made by criminal Zionist Jews at the expense of worthless Jews. It is all the more revolting that after the war, Jews levelled these accusations against the Hungarians. It is totally revolting! Nec plus ultra.

Post Scriptum of 2011: I am glad that I can inform Hungarians living in Hungary and everywhere else that this genocide does not weigh on their shoulders. It is high time for Hungarians to learn the truth and react accordingly. It is perhaps even more important for Jews themselves to learn the truth and react accordingly to this truth!

This is the essence of truth.

Last but not least: Eichmann, the mastermind behind the deportations, was he not Jewish by any chance, as many Jews have claimed?...

Ion COJA

December 22, 2011

Translated by Gyula Kovács